	Case 2:16-mj-00001-DUTY Document	t 7 Filed 01/04/16 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:2	
1		FILED Clerk, U.S. District court	
2		JAN - 4 2016	
3		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  BY DEPUTY	
4			
5			
6	·		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
12		Case No. 16 MJ 0001	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case 1(0, 10 1/10 0001	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION	
15	V.		
16	ANTHONY RICHARD THURN,		
17	Defendant.		
18		I.	
19	The Court conducted a detention hearing:  ☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case:		
20			
21	allegedly involving:		
22	<ul><li>( ) a crime of violence.</li><li>( ) an offense with a maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.</li></ul>		
23 24			
25			
26	//		
27	//		
28			

1	( ) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with the maximum	
2	sentence of ten or more years.	
3	( ) any felony – where defendant convicted of two or more prior	
4	offenses described above.	
5	( ) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a	
6	minor victim, or possession of a firearm or destructive device or any	
7	other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	
8	§ 2250.	
9		
10	☐ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion	
11	[18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving, on further allegation by the	
12	Government of:	
13	(X) a serious risk that defendant will flee	
14	( ) a serious risk that the defendant will ( ) obstruct or attempt to	
15	obstruct justice; ( ) threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness	
16	or juror, or attempt to do so.	
17		
18	☐ The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable	
19	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
20	the defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the	
21	community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].	
22		
23	II.	
24	☐ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will	
25	reasonably assure:   the appearance of the defendant as required.	
26	$\boxtimes$ the safety of any person or the community.	
27	☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence	
28	to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.	

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments, the arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

IV.

The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

 $\boxtimes$  As to risk of non-appearance:

Prior violations of parole and supervised release, defendant's current status on supervised release in three federal cases (bank fraud, felon in possession of a firearm and ammunition, and possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine), defendant's criminal record (including false ID to peace officer)

 $\boxtimes$  As to danger to the community:

Defendant's criminal record (including assault with a firearm, inflicting corporal injury, carjacking, felon in possession of a firearm, and drug trafficking), prior violations of parole and supervised release, defendant's current status on supervised release in three federal cases (bank fraud, felon in possession of a firearm and ammunition, and possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine), and the instant allegations in complaint affidavit.

28